

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,888	09/05/2003	Jukka-Pekka Vihmalo	944-003.180	1528
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			EXAMINER	
			VO, THANH DUC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONROE, CT 0640			2189	
		MAIL DAME	. DEL MED	W MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	IOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTUS	,	01/03/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,888	VIHMALO ET AL	VIHMALO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh D. Vo	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	ctober 2006	•				
	action is non-final.	•				
	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	e memo io			
Globba in accordance with the practice and of E	x parto Quayro, 1000 O.L	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10,14,15,17-33,36 and 37 is/are per	nding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,14,15,17,19-33,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4 and 18</u> is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
are dabject to rectification and/or	olootion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	` '			
	ammon reco ino allaone		10 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	•			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (170-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· -	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:	_				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the RCE and Amendment filed on October 23, 2006. Claims 11-13, 34, and 35 are cancelled. Claims 1-10, 14, 15, 17-33, 36, and 37 are presented for examination. Claims 1-10, 14, 15, 17-33, 36, and 37 are pending. All objections or rejections not repeated below have been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The comma (,) at "...memory activities,; and" in line 7 should be omitted.

All dependent claims are objected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,888 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

3. Claim 1-3, 5-15, 19-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US Pub 2004/0177212) in view of Ban (US Patent 6,732,221).

With respect to independent claims 1, 20, and 32:

As per claim 1, Chang disclosed a method comprising the steps of:

detecting an at least one triggering signal (Fig. 3, item 304) by a multi-block memory containing data, usable in multi-block memory activities (Fig. 1a item 174 and Fig. 5a); and

copying or relocating the data of an at least one first memory block containing an at least one memory element of the multi-block memory to an at least one second memory block of the multi-block memory after detecting the at least one triggering signal every time said at least one triggering signal, wherein said at least one second memory block does not contain said data before said copying or relocating (Fig. 4, item 420, Fig. 6, item 612, and page 8, paragraph 0078, lines 1-7);

wherein said at least one second memory block does not contain said data before said copying or relocating is an inherent feature of Chang since "said data" does not existed in "the second block", otherwise it would be redundant and inefficient to copy or relocate "said data" from "the first block" to "the second block" in Chang.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,888

Art Unit: 2189

As per claims 20 and 32, Chang disclosed a multi-block memory containing data, usable in multi-block memory activities (See Fig. 1b, item 11);

a memory pointer controller (Fig. 1a, item 128), responsive to the update signal (Fig. 1a, item 130, and Fig. 3, item 304), wherein interface 130 will inherently comprising data signaling to memory controller 128;

a memory wear controller (Fig. 1b, item 128), responsive to a triggering signal (Fig. 1a, item 130) or to a further triggering signal (Fig. 1b, item 15), for providing a data-relocation signal (Fig. 1b, item 17) to the multi-block memory (Fig. 1b, item 11) to relocate the data from an at least one first memory block containing an at least one memory element of the multi-block memory to an at least one second memory block of the multi-block memory(Fig. 4, item 420, Fig. 6, item 612, and page 8, paragraph 0078, lines 1-7);

wherein said at least one second memory block does not contain said data before said copying or relocating is an inherent feature of Chang since "said data" does not existed in "the second block", otherwise it would be redundant and inefficient to copy or relocate "said data" from "the first block" to "the second block" in Chang.

As per claims 1, 20, and 32, Chang did not explicitly teach wherein no information on a usage of said at least one first memory block, at least one second memory block or at least one memory element is provided for performing said copying or relocating.

Art Unit: 2189

However, Ban discloses a random process of a flash data manager to enhance the wear leveling of a flash memory. See col. 5, lines 29-39, wherein the method of moving memory content from one location to another is randomly assigned and there is no usage information provided for performing such memory allocation and relocation.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to combine the method of Chang with the method Ban in order to arrive at the current invention. The motivation of doing so is to provide a methodology for improving wear leveling for all units, including static units, in a Flash devices, with a substantially decrease in required system resources as taught by Ban at col. 6, lines 28-31.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 5-15, 19, 21-31, 33, and 35:

As per claims 2, 5, 28, and 29, Chang et al. disclosed a method, wherein each of the at least one first memory block and the at least one second memory block contains only one memory element (claims 2 and 28) or contains more than one memory element (claims 5 and 29). See paragraph 0008, wherein a block is generally a storage element contain at least one memory page and a block will comprise only one page (one storage element) if the page size is equal to the block size;

wherein said at least one second memory block does not contain said data before said copying or relocating is an inherent feature of Chang since "said data" does not existed in "the second block", otherwise it would be redundant and inefficient to copy or relocate "said data" from "the first block" to "the second block" in Chang et al.

As per claims 3, 19, 23, and 33, the method of updating a first memory pointer originally pointed to the at least one second memory block before said copying or relocating to point to the at least one first memory block after said copying or relocating is an inherent feature in Chang since updating a memory pointer to be pointed to a new data location after the data was moved is required in the computer art in order to avoid data being misallocated and taking up the unnecessary storage are.

As per claim 6, Chang disclosed a wherein the data of an at least one additional block of the multi-block memory is relocated to an at least one further additional block of the multi-block memory after detecting the at least one triggering signal. See Fig. 4, item 420, Fig. 6, item 612, and page 8, paragraph 0078, lines 1-7.

wherein said at least one second memory block does not contain said data before said copying or relocating is an inherent feature of Chang since "said data" does not existed in "the second block", otherwise it would be redundant and inefficient to copy or relocate "said data" from "the first block" to "the second block" in Chang.

As per claims 7 and 21, Chang disclosed a method, wherein said copying or relocating is performed according to predetermined criteria. See Fig. 4, wherein the copying is performing at a predetermined algorithm according to the flow chart.

As per claim 10, Chang disclosed a method, wherein said copying or relocating of the data occurs only after detecting a predetermined number of the at least one triggering signal. See Fig. 4, item 408, wherein the copying is occurred after

determined that erase count is low compared to the average. The number of triggering signal is equivalent to the number of erasure triggered by the number of access request.

As per claims 14, 36, and 37, Chang discloses wherein said event for said multiblock memory activities is at least one of:

a) a write operation (Fig. 3, item 340, wherein storing is equivalent to write operation), b) a read operation (Fig. 3, item 306, wherein the obtaining after the initialization request is equivalent to the read operation); c) a clock pulse is an inherent feature in the computer art at the hardware level wherein the falling edge pulse or the rising edge clock pulse will trigger a predetermined operation which would have been programmed by those skilled in the art in order to synchronize the all of the components and the operation of a computer to work together and maintaining the data and time, d) a counter to count the number of operation (See Fig. 4, erasure counter)

As per claim 15, Chang disclosed a method, wherein said copying or relocating of the data occurs a predetermined number of times between the triggering signals.

See Fig. 4, wherein the triggering signal is equivalent to the number of triggering signals from the erasure trigger and the memory blocks are being relocated after a predetermined number of erasure counts.

As per claim 22, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein the memory pointer signal contains a physical address (Fig. 5a, mapping table 462 with physical block address) in the multi-block memory to be accessed for enabling an at least one further data relocation of the data located at the physical address and optionally an address of a first memory pointer. See Fig. 4, item 420, Fig. 6, item 612, and page 8, paragraph 0078.

As per claim 24, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein the memory wear controller and the memory pointer controller are implemented as a combination of software and hardware components. See paragraph 0048, lines 9-11 and paragraph 0056, lines 1-7, wherein the software is executed by microprocessor.

As per claim 25, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein the memory wear controller and the memory pointer controller are implemented as hardware. See page 4, paragraph 0049, lines last sentence, wherein the microprocessor as an hardware implemented to execute and control the memory control system.

As per claim 26, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein the hardware is implemented using a finite state machine. See page 5, paragraph 0051, lines 4-5, wherein the finite state machine is implemented in the memory control system.

Art Unit: 2189

As per claim 27, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein the memory wear controller and the memory pointer controller are implemented as software. See page 5, paragraph 0056, lines 4-6, wherein the software/code enable the memory to be addressed, read, or stored into.

As per claim 30, Chang disclosed an electronic device, wherein said copying or relocating of the data from the at least one first memory block and updating the location of the memory pointers are performed according to predetermined criteria. See Fig. 4, wherein the copying is performing at a predetermined algorithm according to the flow chart.

As per claim 31, a triggering detector responsive to a triggering signal is an inherent feature in the computer art since signal triggering is required in order to enable the communication between the circuit components such as processor, memory, and memory controller.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US Pub 2004/0177212) and Ban (US Patent 6,732,221) and further in view of Khalid et al. (US Pub 2003/0012661).

As per claim 17, Chang et al. and Ban did not explicitly disclose a method, wherein all the data contained in the multi-block memory is copied or relocated at the same time.

Khalid et al. discloses a method of writing the data into the memory block at the same time. See paragraph 0008, lines 2-7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to combine the method of Change et al. and Ban with the method of Khalid et al. in order to arrive at the current invention since it will further benefit the wear leveling strategies of memory cells as taught by Khalid et al. on paragraph 0036, lines 1-4.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant argues that Ban teaches a random process while the current invention is deterministic process. Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's argument. The term "every time" in amended claims 1, 20, and 32 is simply demonstrating that **whenever** the memory detects a triggering signal, the data is copied or relocated from one block of memory to another.

Furthermore, Chang et al. discloses the triggering signal rather than Ban as being argued by the Applicant. In addition, the system of Chang et al. will operate the copying or relocating process whenever or every time it is being triggered or commanded.

Therefore, the argument present by Applicant is not persuasive since Applicant is arguing away from the cited prior art and the term "every time" does not make the process in claims 1, 20, and 30 become deterministic as interpreted by Applicant.

Art Unit: 2189

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh D. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald G. Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,888

Art Unit: 2189

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh Vo

Patent Examiner

AU 2189

12/18/2006

REGINALD BRAGDON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100